

Spontaneous Time Asymmetry due to Horizon

Ramesh Anishetty, R.Parthasarathy[‡]

The Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Chennai - 600 113, INDIA

We show that quantized matter fields in the presence of background metrics with Horizon exhibit spontaneous time asymmetry. All quantized matter fields have to vanish at the horizon. Some phenomenological applications of this in the context of black holes and early universe are considered.

Matter interaction in Minkowski space-time are well described by local quantum field theories. These theories have Poincaré invariance along with several other continuous and discrete symmetries. We draw our attention to a discrete symmetry namely time reversal symmetry T . This says that the dynamics of the matter fields is such that if we evolve the system backwards in time it is perfectly allowed, i.e. time symmetric. More precisely the correlation functions, for instance $\langle \phi(t)\phi(t') \rangle$ as defined by the Feynman's path integral, are symmetric functions of $t - t'$ [1]. While this is self evident from the Lagrangian of the fundamental theory, there are many physical situations which do not appear to obey this symmetry. Some well known examples [2] are given below. Decelerating electromagnetic charges radiate photons while the time reversed phenomenon, namely, the accelerating charges absorbing photons, is never allowed. Entropy in sufficiently large subspaces is always increasing even if the large subspaces are causally disconnected. In the context of cosmology, we are witnessing uniform expansion of the universe and growth of inhomogeneities thereof and never observed the time reversed phenomenon so far. These examples are suggesting that the vacuum state of the universe does seem to break Time reversal invariance and thereby setting the arrow of time.

In the Standard Model of particle physics [3], there is a small parameter which violates CP invariance where C stands for charge conjugation and P for spatial parity. Since CPT is still a good symmetry [4], it implies T has to be broken. The origin of this parameter in the theory is not understood.

It is also true that Time asymmetry can be arranged by appropriate boundary conditions in time [5]. This may model the phenomenon but it still begs the question: why that particular boundary condition? In this paper we suggest a mechanism which imposes a boundary condition due to dynamics itself and thus exhibit *spontaneous Time asymmetry*.

We now turn to gravity and its coupling to matter as described by Einstein's equation. The quantum theory of gravity is a major unsolved problem of the century. In spite of this, some limited progress is made in realms where we suspect the back reaction of matter fluctuations on gravity is small due to small gravitational coupling constant [6]. In this realm gravity does influence classically as background gravity. Indeed our understanding

of cosmology after the Planck's time rests on this assumption.

We address Time reversal invariance of matter fields in the presence of background gravity. The metric is Minkowskian at any space time point; however globally it is not Minkowskian. A generic background metric does not have any symmetries. Phenomenologically [7] the background gravity of the universe does appear to have some symmetries: how this comes about is another interesting issue. Here we will assume that the background gravity does have time translational invariance. That is, there is a time like Killing vector. By a suitable general co-ordinate transformation we can always choose the metric as given by the proper distance ds to be

$$ds^2 = \sqrt{B(\vec{x})} (A(\vec{x})dt^2 - g'_{ij}(\vec{x})dx^i dx^j), \quad (1)$$

where A, B and g'_{ij} the three dimensional metric are all time independent. We further made a choice of definition such that the four volume density $\sqrt{-\det g} = B$ and $A \det g' = 1$. B field is necessarily taken to be nonzero and positive everywhere. (If B is negative then it corresponds to wrong signature metric and if it is zero, then it corresponds to dimensional reduction of space time. These alternatives can be entertained in some formalisms of gravity; we shall not consider them here.) If the field A is positive everywhere then the time translation operator $\frac{d}{dt}$ is time-like, and the dynamics on such metrics have the same qualitative features as that of Minkowski space.

Consider a situation where A becomes negative in some region of space. In that region, the Killing vector, namely, the translation operator in t , will be space-like. By continuity, A then has to vanish on some region. The region where $A(\vec{x}) = 0$ defines a two-surface when the space dimension is three. This two-surface is what we call the Horizon. Horizon in general can be open or closed and if closed it could be topologically non-trivial as well.

In the literature some of the well known examples assume spherical symmetry. A convenient choice of the metric which is static and spherically symmetric is [7]

$$ds^2 = B(r)[A(r)dt^2 - A^{-1}dr^2] - r^2 d\Omega^2, \quad (2)$$

with $\sqrt{-\det g} = Br^2 \sin\theta$. The following examples assume sphere topology for the Horizon: for static de Sitter space, $B(r) = 1$ and

$$A(r) = 1 - \frac{r^2}{\alpha^2}, \quad (3)$$

where α is a constant; static black hole solutions which approach Minkowski space time at infinity: $B(r) = 1$ and

$$A(r) = 1 - \frac{2M}{r} + \frac{C^2}{r^2}, \quad (4)$$

where M is related to the mass and C^2 is to the charge of the black hole. Near the Horizon, the Ricci tensor is not singular. (The apparent singularity in the metric at $r = 2M$ for $C = 0$ in (4), can be removed by Eddington-Finkelstein transformation and this introduces $drdt$ term in (2). Based on this, time asymmetry had been argued in [8].) It is however conjectured based on the positive energy condition that almost all Riemann curvature singularities are hidden behind the Horizon. (cosmic censorship hypothesis)[9]. For example in (4), for $C = 0$, the Riemann curvature is singular as M/r^3 near $r = 0$ which is enclosed by the horizon which is a sphere of radius $2M$. There are other situations such as static de Sitter (3) or Rindler spaces where the horizon is not enclosing singularities. It is noticed that in these other examples the space at infinity is not Minkowskian.

Now we shall analyze how horizon generates *spontaneous* time reversal non-invariance. The essential ingredients of our proof are already contained in the spherically symmetric context. As for matter fields, for technical simplicity, we consider a scalar field of mass m in a spherical symmetric background metric (2), given by the action

$$S = \frac{1}{2} \int dt dr r^2 d\Omega \left\{ A^{-1} (\partial_t \Phi)^2 - A (\partial_r \Phi)^2 - B \left[\frac{1}{r^2} (\partial_\theta \Phi)^2 + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} (\partial_\phi \Phi)^2 + m^2 \Phi^2 \right] \right\}. \quad (5)$$

The quantum theory can be envisaged by the following path integral given by the partition function Z ,

$$Z = \int [d\Phi] \exp(iS). \quad (6)$$

The Lagrangian density in the above is manifestly invariant under time reversal $t \rightarrow -t$ with Φ transforming as a scalar. A more precise definition of the functional integral is given by expanding the field Φ into the eigen modes Ψ of the covariance operator, namely of the eigenvalue problem

$$\frac{1}{B} \left\{ -A^{-1} \partial_t^2 + \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_r r^2 A \partial_r + B \left[\frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \partial_\theta (\sin \theta \partial_\theta) + \frac{1}{r^2 \sin^2 \theta} \partial_\phi^2 - m^2 \right] \right\} \Psi = \Lambda \Psi, \quad (7)$$

where Λ are the eigenvalues and Ψ the eigen modes.

Due to translational invariance in t , a good choice of eigen modes are

$$\Psi = \exp(-iEt) \psi_E(r) Y_{jm}(\theta, \phi), \quad (8)$$

where ψ_E is the radial and Y_{jm} are the angular momentum parts. The radial function satisfies the following equation on mass shell i.e. when $\Lambda = 0$.

$$\frac{1}{B} \left\{ E^2 A^{-1} + \frac{1}{r^2} \partial_r r^2 A \partial_r - B \left[\frac{j(j+1)}{r^2} + m^2 \right] \right\} \psi_E(r) = 0. \quad (9)$$

In the succeeding analysis we would like to assert some general features of the eigenvalues E . Let us say that the horizon is at $r = r_+$. $A(r)$ for $r > r_+$ is positive and negative for $r < r_+$. Near r_+

$$A(r) \simeq a(r - r_+). \quad (10)$$

Usually [6,10], the quantum theory is studied outside the horizon. We shall consider this region first. Consider any state which is nonvanishing in the region $r \geq r_+$. The first term in the eqn.(9) has a pole at $r = r_+$, this can be cancelled only if the eigenfunction near r_+ has the behaviour

$$\psi_E(r) \simeq K(r - r_+)^{iE/a}. \quad (11)$$

Therefore the eigenfunction oscillates wildly near $r = r_+$ if E is positive or negative. In general we can allow E to be complex. However if imaginary part of E is positive the eigenfunction blows up near the horizon and hence not normalizable. If imaginary part of E is negative the eigenfunction necessarily vanishes at the horizon. We will show that only the latter is the allowed alternative. Taking scalar product with (9) i.e. multiplying with $B r^2 dr \psi_E^*(r)$ and integrating from r_+ to infinity, we obtain

$$E^2 \int_{r_+} dr r^2 A^{-1} |\psi_E|^2 + \int_{r_+} dr \psi_E^*(r) \partial_r (r^2 A \partial_r \psi_E(r)) - \int_{r_+} B [j(j+1) + m^2 r^2] |\psi_E(r)|^2 = 0. \quad (12)$$

Performing the integration by parts in the second term, we obtain

$$E^2 = I \left\{ \int_{r_+} dr \left(r^2 A |\partial_r \psi_E|^2 + B [j(j+1) + m^2 r^2] |\psi_E|^2 \right) + i K^2 E r_+^2 (Limit_{r \rightarrow r_+}) (r - r_+)^{\frac{1}{a}(E - E^*)} \right\}, \quad (13)$$

where $I^{-1} = \int dr r^2 A^{-1} |\psi_E|^2$. We have assumed that the eigenfunction and its derivative vanish for large r . In the region of integration A is always positive.

From (13) we see that if the imaginary part of E is negative then it is in fact zero and the real part of E can be positive or negative. Moreover for every possible positive real E there is also an eigenstate with $-E$. If the imaginary part of E is positive then it is also infinite and the radial integrals in (12,13) are also not normalizable. Hence we conclude the allowed eigenvalues are

$$E = \mathcal{E} - i\epsilon, \quad (14)$$

where $\mathcal{E}$ is the real part and ϵ is infinitesimally positive.

To summarize, the operator as defined by the left hand side of (9) has normalizable eigenfunctions only if imaginary part of E is negative which in turn implies the eigenfunction necessarily has to vanish at the horizon and all the energy eigenvalues are real on shell. This shows any eigenfunction which is nonvanishing outside the horizon, necessarily vanishes at the horizon. The results (11) and (14) hold true for off-shell ($\Lambda \neq 0$) case of (7) as well.

Exactly the same analysis as above goes through inside the Horizon i.e for $r \leq r_+$. We again arrive at the conclusion E has only negative imaginary part. Therefore we have shown that all eigenfunctions have a node at the Horizon which in turn implies (14).

Consider the correlation function

$$\langle \Phi(x)\Phi(x') \rangle = \int dE d\omega \frac{\Psi^*(x)\Psi(x')}{\Lambda(\mathcal{E} - i\epsilon, \omega)}, \quad (15)$$

where Λ 's are the eigenvalues of the operator which in turn is explicitly a function of $E = \mathcal{E} - i\epsilon$ and other quantum numbers which we have collectively called them as ω . The explicit form is quite complicated in general. (For example see [10] for static de Sitter space metric). The important feature is that now the correlation function is necessarily time reversal non-invariant due to ϵ . *The imaginary part of E has always only one sign, this in turn implies that we only have retarded (or only advanced by the alternate choice) propagation. This demonstrates that in spite of manifest time reversal invariance of the Lagrangian the system picks a time reversal non-invariant vacuum, i.e., the vacuum breaks time reversal invariance spontaneously.*

In contrast, in background Minkowski space, which has no horizon, we have many alternatives for vacuum state, namely, only retarded or only advanced propagation or the average of the retarded and advanced. The last is the choice made in the "Stueckelberg-Feynman $i\epsilon$ prescription", [1,11] which is manifestly time reversal invariant. It is clear that the above argument actually rested totally on the zero of A . Indeed all the above steps of the proof prevail even if we discard spherical symmetry and if there are more than one horizons which are disconnected. This phenomenon is in fact quite generic whenever there are any horizons.

We can construct an example of an horizon which is open, such as the metric given by (1) and $A(x, y, z) = x$; $B = 1$ and $g_{xx} = 1/x$; $g_{yy} = g_{zz} = 1$ and all the other components of the metric zero. The horizon is the (y, z) plane. Our previous analysis goes through exactly the same way leading to the same conclusion that the energy eigenvalues are $\mathcal{E} - i\epsilon$.

Matter self interactions do not alter the essential conclusions. Matter fields such as Fermionic or gauge fields also yield the same qualitative result as discussed above.

Namely they all generically exhibit a node at the Horizon, however they can pass through it. Inkling of this phenomenon is already present in the unquantized matter. For instance a particle moving along its geodesic in the presence of Schwarzschild black hole, in its own reference frame, has zero velocity and zero acceleration at the Horizon.

It is interesting to note that in all the cases the A function has to vanish linearly at the Horizon; if A vanishes quadratically or fractional power, then we cannot find any eigen solutions for (7). This pathology is resolved by noting that: A continuous function vanishing linearly is a generic situation. However when two horizons coalesce then the A function does have quadratic zeros and this situation is certainly not a static background; under those circumstances our analysis does have to consider the interaction of gravity and matter as a time dependent phenomenon which involves the Einstein equation as well. We have not considered that here.

We consider some phenomenological applications of this phenomenon, namely horizons do cause time asymmetry. Time asymmetry is essential to produce baryon number violation in the universe. We suggest that since every black hole has a horizon they in turn might have the signature that they produce more particles than anti-particles. Furthermore all quantized matter fields have to have a node at the Horizon, this manifests itself as if all particles are repelled from the Horizon. This phenomenon can be used as a signature for compact black holes.

In the context of early universe at Planck's time we need to consider both matter and gravity quantum fluctuations. While this is least understood, Feynman path integral for gravity and matter is an acceptable mathematical framework. In this framework metric fluctuations are taken into account with amplitude given by Einstein action or some modification thereof which depend on the Riemann tensor. Let us consider metric fluctuations which create Horizons. These configurations generically do not produce large Riemann curvatures, in fact near the Schwarzschild horizon Ricci tensor vanishes. Hence as quantum fluctuations Horizons can be produced prolifically. These Horizons need not necessarily be one of those which hide a singularity such as that of black holes. It is suggestive from functional integral that the horizon as a quantum fluctuation can be one which has no singularity for the Ricci tensor anywhere. Our own early universe might have generated one such at the beginning. This Horizon can cause the primordial Baryon anti-Baryon asymmetry. The understanding of dynamics in these contexts where exchange of energy between gravity and matter modes is profusive is yet to be done. We speculate that as matter field repel the Horizon, perhaps the early universe Horizon manifests its presence by the present Hubble expansion of all matter fields away from the center of the universe and thereby setting the arrow

of time. The creation of the Horizon itself can be thought as the initial time of the universe. This creation being on a two surface, the so called initial point singularity of the big bang [9] has no role to play. This phenomenon warrants a more careful study.

[‡] *electronic address* : ramesha@imsc.ernet.in and sarathy@imsc.ernet.in

- [1] N.N.Bogolubov and D.V.Shirkov, *Introduction to the Theory of Quantized Fields*, NY.Interscience., 1959.
K.Nishijima, *Fields and Particles*, W.A.Benjamin, Inc., 1969.
- [2] See the contributions of J.Wheeler, and M.Gell-Mann and J.B.Hartle, in *Physical origins of Time Asymmetry.*, Edited by J.J.Halliwell, J.Pérez-Mercader and W.H.Zurek. Cambridge University Press, 1994.
W.Unruh, in *Time's Arrows Today*. Edited by S.F.Savitt, Cambridge University Press, 1995.
- [3] R.E.Marshak, *Conceptual Foundations of Modern Particle Physics*, World Scientific, Singapore, 1993.
E.Leader and E.Predazzi, *An Introduction to Gauge Theories and Modern Particle Physics*, Vol.I and II, Cambridge University Press, 1996.
- [4] G.Lüders, Ann.Phys. **2** (1957) 1.
G.Lüders and B.Zumino, Phys.Rev. **110** (1958) 1480.
- [5] See the contributions by S.W.Hawking and R.Laflamme in *Physical origins of Time Asymmetry.*, Edited by J.J.Halliwell, J.Pérez-Mercader and W.H.Zurek, Cambridge University Press, 1994.
- [6] N.D.Birrell and P.C.W.Davies, *Quantum Fields in Curved Space.*, Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- [7] S.Weinberg, *Gravitation and Cosmology: Principles and Applications of The General Theory of Relativity*, John-Wiley and Sons, Inc. New York. 1972.
H.Stephani, *General Relativity: An Introduction to the theory of gravitational field*, Cambridge University Press, 1982.
- [8] D.Finkelstein, Phys.Rev. **110** (1958) 965.
- [9] S.W.Hawking and G.F.R.Ellis, *The Large-Scale Structure of Space-Time*, Cambridge University Press, 1973.
- [10] D.Lohiya and N.Panchapakesan, J.Phys.A:Math.Gen. **11** (1978) 1963; **12** (1979) 533.
- [11] R.P.Feynman, *Quantum Electrodynamics*, Benjamin, New York, 1973.